

Sharpening Transmissive Images using Parallel High Frequency Illumination

Kenichiro Tanaka^{*1}, Yasuhiro Mukaigawa^{*1}, Yasuyuki Matsushita^{*2}, Yasushi Yagi^{*1}
^{*1} Osaka University, ^{*2} Microsoft Research Asia

Transmissive Image

- Visualization of the inside for
 - Security
 - Medical care
 - Industry
- Unclear images due to **scattering**

Food Vein

Purpose

- Separation of **Transmissive** and **Scattered** lights

Clues for Separation

| Clue | Polarization | Angle | Position | Time |
|---------------------|----------------|------------------|-------------|-------|
| Transmission | Kept | Same as incident | On the line | Fast |
| Scattering | Gradually lost | Spread | Spread | Delay |

We combine three clues for sharpening

Separation Idea

- Direct transmission**
 - Keeps incident patterns
- Scattered light**
 - Low-pass filter

Transmissive light Scattered light

Optical System

- Both camera and projector mount telecentric lenses

Experimental Results

- Metallic object in milky water

Top View of target object Normal illumination using visible light

Normal illumination using near infra-red **Proposed Method**

Formulation

- Shift the pattern

Maximum and Minimum Intensities are obtained

- Fluctuation of the intensity = **Direct transmission**

- Observation

$$\begin{cases} I_{max} = I_{transmission} + \frac{1}{2} I_{scattering} \\ I_{min} = \frac{1}{2} I_{scattering} \end{cases}$$

Assuming "numbers of black and white pixel are same"

- Therefore,

$$I_{transmission} = I_{max} - I_{min}$$

Fast and easy calculation

Numerical Evaluation

- A metallic wire in milky water (2.2 % density)
 - Ground truth: in pure water

Target Object Ground Truth White pattern in visible light White pattern in near infra-red **Proposed method**

- Intensity profiles

- A metallic wire in milky water for various densities

| Density | 1.9% | 2.2% | 2.5% | 2.8% | 3.1% |
|------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Normal Illumination | | | | | |
| Correlation | 0.98 | 0.68 | 0.30 | 0.06 | 0.01 |
| Proposed Method | | | | | |
| Correlation | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.84 | 0.21 | 0.03 |